

March 20-24, 2023 San Francisco, CA

## Logic Programming in Commercial Games: **Experiences and Lessons Learned**

**Robert Zubek**, SomaSim LLC, robert@somasim.com Ian Horswill, Northwestern University, ian@northwestern.edu

Slides, software, and interactive demo: https://tinyurl.com/game-lp

tGDC23



# What we're going to talk about

- What is logic programming?
- LP in three commercial games
  - Asset consistency checking
  - Social graph queries
  - Procedural generation
- Experimental work ullet
- Software, slides, discord, and interactive demo: • https://tinyurl.com/game-lp



# What is logic programming?

- Logic
- Declarative programming
- A more declarative language than C++/C#/etc.
  - Tell the system what you want
  - It decides how to do it
- Programming language based on predicates/relations and **rules**, rather than functions



# **Traditional languages**

Basic unit is the **function**/method/procedure

• z = f(x,y)

### Unidirectional

- Distinguish input(s) from output lacksquare
- Always ask "here are all the inputs, what's the output?"  $\bullet$
- Reversing is hard  $\bullet$

### Work is done by **chaining calls**



# Predicates (aka relations)

### **Generalization** of functions

• F(x,y,z) means z=f(x,y)

Don't prejudice what things are inputs or outputs

- You can set x and y and solve for z
- Or set y and z and solve for x
- Set all of them and just test if it's true
- Set none of them and ask for a data point





## Rules

A[x,y] if B[x,z], C[y,z]"For any x,y,z, A[x,y] is true if B[x,z] and C[y,z] are true"

Most predicates are specified by rules



Pet[x].If(Cat[x]) Pet[x].If(Dog[x]) Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x])

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger"

Query: Pet[chris]

Chris a pet if ...

- They're a cat
- Or they're a dog
- Or they're a tiger ...
  - ... and also tame

"is Chris a pet?"



So in C#, this is like: Pet[x].If(Cat[x]) Pet[x].If(Dog[x]) Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x])

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger"

bool Pet(Mammal x)  $\Rightarrow$  Cat(x) || Dog(x)

# || (Tiger(x) && Tame(x))



Pet[x].If(Cat[x]) Pet[x].If(Dog[x]) Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x])

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger"

Query: Pet[x]

Set x to ...

- Otherwise, a dog
- Otherwise, a **tiger**

# "find me a pet, x"

## A cat, if you can find one But check if it's tame • If not, try the **next tiger**



Pet[x].If(Cat[x]) Pet[x].If(Dog[x]) Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x])

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger"

```
So in C#, this is like:
Mammal? FindPet()
 Mammal? result = FindCat();
  if (result == null)
    result = FindDog();
 if (result == null)
    foreach (var x in AllTigers)
      if (IsTame(x))
      { result = x; break; }
```

return result;



Pet[x].If(Cat[x]) Pet[x].If(Dog[x]) Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x])

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger"

Query: Pet[x].SolveForAll(x) "find me all pets"

- List all the cats
- Then all the dogs lacksquare
- Then, for each tiger Check if it's tame
  - If so, it's a pet



Pet[x].If(Cat[x]) Pet[x].If(Dog[x]) Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x])

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger"

### So in C#, this is like:

IEnumerable<Mammal> FindPets()

```
=> FindCats().Cast<Mammal>()
```

```
.Concat(FindTigers.Where(
```

- .Concat(FindDogs()).Cast<Mammal>()

  - t => t.IsTame)
  - .Cast<Mammal>);



Pet[x].If(Cat[x]) Pet[x].If(Dog[x]) Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x])

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger"

Query: Pet[x], Owner[x, rob] "find me Rob's pet, x" Go through the pets, one by one (see Pet[x] previous) Check their owners

Until you find Rob's pet (I'm guessing a tiger)



Pet[x].If(Cat[x])
Pet[x].If(Dog[x])
Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x])

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger" Query: Owner[x, rob], Pet[x]
 "find me Rob's pet, x"

 Go through by item

 Check if each is a pet (see Pet[chris] example)

Go through Rob's stuff, item



# **One rule** is worth many functions

Rules stand in for many different algorithms. The system chooses between them at run-time based on context



## TELL: Typed, Embedded, Logic language (github.com/ianhorswill/TELL)

```
Pet[x].If(Cat[x]);
```

Pet[x].If(Dog[x]);

Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x]);

"x is a pet if it's a cat, dog, or tame tiger"

- Simple logic program subset
- Embedded in C#
  - TELL code is C# code
  - Mix-and-match w/C#
- Live coding support
- MIT license lacksquare
- **NB:** Not highly optimized **Cheap and easy to** experiment with



# **TELL predicates are C# objects**

```
var Pet = Predicate("Pet", x);
var Cat = Predicate("Cat", x);
var Dog = Predicate("Dog", x);
var Tiger = Predicate("Tiger", x);
var Tame = Predicate("Tame", x);
... rules for your fur babies ...
Pet[x].If(Cat[x]);
Pet[x].If(Dog[x]);
Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x]);
if (Pet[chris]) DoSomething();
var aPet = Pet[x].SolveFor(x);
var lotsOfPets = Pet[x].SolveForAll(x);
```

Methods for

- Adding rules

```
Solving for variables
```

# Calling ([] is overloaded)



# **TELL variables are C# objects**

```
Mammal chris = ...;
var x = (Var<Mammal>)"x";
var Pet = Predicate("Pet", x);
var Cat = Predicate("Cat", x);
var Dog = Predicate("Dog", x);
var Tiger = Predicate("Tiger", x);
var Tame = Predicate("Tame", x);
... rules for your fur babies ...
Pet[x].If(Cat[x]);
Pet[x].If(Dog[x]);
Pet[x].If(Tiger[x], Tame[x]);
if (Pet[chris]) DoSomething();
```

vs. Var<Mammal>)

- Just represent a variable name, not its value
- **Predicates are also** strongly typed, based on variables passed in the constructor

**Strongly typed** (Var<int>



## Predicates can access game state

```
var Owner = Predicate<Person,Mammal>(
              "Owner",
              person -> person.Stuff);
var Tame = Predicate<Mammal>("Tame",
              ModeDispatch(
                // Argument is input
                m => m.IsTame,
                // Argument is output
                () => Mammal.AllMammals
                 .Where(m => m.IsTame)));
```

- predicates
- called"
- lacksquaredetail, though

Eventually, you want your rules to access your game state You do this with **primitive** 

### You just tell it "run this C# **code** when this predicate is

Don't have time to go into it in



## Reasons to use logic programming

- **Rules can be repurposed** for many different uses
- Easy to slap a query language on your game state  $\bullet$ (easier than SQL)
- Some game logic is naturally described as rules anyway



# Flavors of logic programming

### **Top-down** (Prolog)

- Start with a call to Pet, it tries each rule
- First rule calls Cat, second calls Dog, third calls Tiger and Tame

### **Bottom-up** (DATALOG)

- Add all the cats to Pet, then all the dogs
- Then the intersection of Tiger and Tame **SAT-based** (ASP, SMT)
- Use general constraint satisfaction algorithms  $\bullet$







# SomaSim

## PROJECT HIGHRISE



# LP systems





### BotL, CatSAT

### (Future game) $\implies$ TELL & TED, CatSAT

March 20-24, 2023 | San Francisco, CA #GDC23



# **Project Highrise**

Skyscraper simulator



Two AI experiments:

- AI Planner for NPC behavior → see <u>Game AI Pro 3 article</u>
- Prolog for asset consistency checking → Unity Prolog!

## I Pro 3 article ity Prolog!

Example: we have tons of assets with cross-references xrefs use **names** and **tags** for flexibility

Problem: make sure all things are matched to each other







Matching residents with apartments for rent

- Space tags (what they are): [ apartment, 1br, berlin ]
- People tags (what they want): [ 1br, 2br, ... ]



### , 1br, berlin ] ...]



Verify that all tags are set up correctly:

- all spaces have someone who could live there
- all people have a space they want to live in ullet

You *could* do this with tons of foreach loops...

MatchTags(S,P): for each tag T in tagsof(S) for each tag T' in tagsof(P) return true if T = T'

for each space S for each people P



## signal a problem if MatchTags(S,P) != true

Verify that all tags are set up correctly:

- all spaces have someone who could live there
- all people have a space they want to live in ullet

You *could* do this with tons of foreach loops, or... express it as a rule and let the computer validate it

Rule:  $\forall S \in Spaces, \exists P \in People$ 

Signal a problem if false



## $\exists$ T: T $\in$ tagsof(S) $\land$ T $\in$ tagsof(P)

# Prolog code

```
problems :-
   step limit(10000000),
   all(P, problem(P), Problems),
   forall(member(P, Problems), writeln(P)).
```

```
problem(P) :-
  unit(U),
   unit problem(U, P).
```

```
problem(P) :-
  workplace(W),
  workplace problem(W, P).
```

problem(P) :residence(R),

residence\_problem(R, P).

residence problem(R, no matching movein(R)) :-\+ matches\_residential\_movein(R, \_).

```
matches residential movein(R, M) :-
   RTags is R.unit.tags,
  member(M, MoveIns),
   member(Tag, RTags),
   element(Tag, M.instant.tags).
```

Iterates through all residence definitions, all peoples' move-in preferences, all their respective tags, and makes sure they match up

MoveIns is \$'Game'.serv.globals.settings.economy.moveins,

# Prolog code

```
problems :-
   step_limit(10000000),
   all(P, problem(P), Problems),
   forall(member(P, Problems), writeln(P)).
problem(P) :-
  unit(U),
   unit_problem(U, P).
problem(P) :-
  workplace(W),
  workplace_problem(W, P).
problem(P) :-
   residence(R),
   residence_problem(R, P).
```

We ended up with 400 lines of Prolog

Most of it written in one afternoon

## Takeaways

Tests were queries over tree-like data structures

- Loved writing tests as queries, rather than imperatively
- All tests could be localized in a central place

Unity Prolog

- ISO Prolog very powerful, but can be tricky
- Need to understand how queries get executed (e.g. "cut")
- Our use cases didn't exercise all that power

### **ictures** imperatively e

## <y ted (e.g. "cut")



Prohibition-era organized crime sim

Full of secret deals and vendettas



AI task: querying over social graph at runtime

We wanted social effects like vendettas "You killed my father, prepare to die"

Run a query over social graph:

- Find X, Y, Z such that
- X is the player
- X killed Y
- Y is Z's relative

And modify Z's relationship to X



Also, nice effects:

"You helped my friend, I appreciate that"

Run a query over social graph:

- Find X, Y, Z such that
- X is the player
- X helped Y
- Y is Z's friend

And modify Z's relationship to X



Or more generally, social norms: "You [acted] on [someone's] [relation], I have [reaction]"

Run a query over social graph:

- Find X, Y, Z, A, R such that
- X is the player  $\bullet$
- **X performed action A** with **Y**  $\bullet$
- Y and Z have relationship R  $\bullet$ And modify Z's relationship to X



Positive examples: help, complete quest, give money

Negative: extort, harm, kill



# BotL, aka "Bot Language"

C# implementation, highly optimized for runtime performance

- Stack allocated, *no runtime mallocs*  $\bullet$
- Custom VM: Vienna Abstract Machine 2P
- Prolog feature set cut down to help with performance  $\bullet$




## BotL code

socialInference(OtherPeep, TargetPeep, HumanPeep, Link, "violence", "violence-inf") <--</pre> hasFamilyHistory(OtherPeep, TargetPeep, HumanPeep, Link, "violence");

// hasAnyFriendHistory(+OtherPeep, +TargetPeep, +HumanPeep, -Link, +Action) hasAnyFriendHistory(OtherPeep, TargetPeep, HumanPeep, AnyLink, Action) <-findLink(OtherPeep, TargetPeep, AnyLink), findHistory(TargetPeep, HumanPeep, History), findActionInHistory(History, Action);

// findLink(+Source, +Target, ?SocLink) findLink(Source, Target, SocLink) <--</pre> findHistory(Source, Target, History), SocLink = History.link;

// findActionInHistory(+History, +Action) findActionInHistory(History, Action) <--</pre> History.ContainsAction(Action) = true;

### Let's rephrase a bit...

### Actual BotL code is not super readable :)

## Social inference in TELL

(MicroCoG demo at https://tinyurl.com/game-lp)

- var ReactionToAction = Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)
  - .If(ReactionType[action, reaction, relationshipClass], RelationshipOf[target, rel], RelationshipClass[rel, relationshipClass], RelationshipType[rel, relationshipType], RelationshipTo[rel, reactor], Sum[buff, ReactionBuff[reaction, relationshipClass, relationshipType, buff], buffTotal]);





```
action: violence
target: Bob
```

March 20-24, 2023 | San Francisco, CA #GDC23



### Dave



var ReactionToAction = Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

> .If(ReactionType[action, reaction, relationshipClass], RelationshipOf[target, rel], RelationshipClass[rel, relationshipClass], RelationshipType[rel, relationshipType], RelationshipTo[rel, reactor], Sum[buff, ReactionBuff[reaction, relationshipClass, relationshipType, buff], buffTotal]);

### If somebody does action to NPC target



have **reaction** 

var ReactionToAction = Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

> .If(ReactionType[action, reaction, relationshipClass], RelationshipOf[target, rel], RelationshipClass[rel, relationshipClass], RelationshipType[rel, relationshipType], RelationshipTo[rel, reactor], Sum[buff, ReactionBuff[reaction, relationshipClass, relationshipType, buff], buffTotal]);

## Then NPC reactor will



And it will change

var ReactionToAction = Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

> .If(ReactionType[action, reaction, relationshipClass], RelationshipOf[target, rel], RelationshipClass[rel, relationshipClass], RelationshipType[rel, relationshipType], RelationshipTo[rel, reactor], Sum[buff, ReactionBuff[reaction, relationshipClass, relationshipType, buff], buffTotal]);

# their trust by **buffTotal**





var ReactionToAction =
 Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

### ion, buffTotal) ationshipClass].



**Reaction** is the kind of reaction people have to that action

var ReactionToAction = Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

> .If(ReactionType[action, reaction, relationshipClass], RelationshipOf[target, rel], RelationshipClass[rel, relationshipClass], RelationshipType[rel, relationshipType], RelationshipTo[rel, reactor], Sum[buff, ReactionBuff[reaction, relationshipClass, relationshipType, buff], buffTotal]);



var ReactionToAction = Of the right class
Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

Rel is someone in in target's network of the right class , reaction, buffTotal)



**Rel** is of this **type** (mother, acquaintance, ...)

var ReactionToAction =
 Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)



## Social inference Rel is a relationship of the

target to the reactor

var ReactionToAction = Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

> .If(ReactionType[action, reaction/relationshipClass], RelationshipOf[target, rel] RelationshipClass[rel, relationshipClass], RelationshipType[rel, relationshipType], RelationshipTo[rel, reactor], Sum[buff, ReactionBuff[reaction, relationshipClass, relationshipType, buff], buffTotal]);



## Social inference And buffTotal is the sum

of all the applicable **buffs** 

var ReactionToAction = Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

> .If(ReactionType[action, reaction, relationshipClass], RelationshipOf[target, rel], RelationshipClass[rel, relationshipClass], RelationshipType[rel, relationshipType], RelationshipTo[rel, reactor], Sum[buff, ReactionBuff[reaction, relationshipClass, relationshipType, buff], buffTotal]);



var ReactionToAction = Predicate(action, target, reactor, reaction, buffTotal)

> .If(ReactionType[action, reaction, relationshipClass], RelationshipOf[target, rel], RelationshipClass[rel, relationshipClass], RelationshipType[rel, relationshipType], RelationshipTo[rel, reactor], Sum[buff, ReactionBuff[reaction, relationshipClass, relationshipType, buff], buffTotal]);



## Social inference in TELL





### buff: -2

- relationshipType: Child
- relationshipClass: Family
- reaction: violence-reaction
- reactor: Alice
- target: Bob
- action: violence

## Takeaways

Declarative queries are great BotL is really, really fast We wished for better debugging and embedding into C#

Idea: but what if we had LP that's more like Ling than SQL?

- Embedded in C# rather than external  $\bullet$
- Use strong typing and easy .NET interop
- Benefit from Visual Studio debugger, IntelliSense, etc.



## (Future game prototype)



## **Consistency checking**

Similar problems as in Project Highrise Using two new systems: TELL and TED

- TELL: Typed Embedded Logic Language
- TED: Typed Embedded Datalog



## **Consistency checking**

Example: there are definitions for **companies** and **contracts**, matched by tags. Make sure everybody matches up.

invalidCompany(C, Id) ⇐ isCompany(C) ∧ offersContract(C, Id) ∧ ¬ isContract(Id)

isContract(Id) ∧

## orphanedContract(Id) ⇐ **∄C: offersContract(C, Id)**



## TED code

```
var is_company = Predicate(listOfCompanies);
var is_contract = Predicate(listOfContracts.Select(a => a.id));
```

```
var company_offers_contract = RelationFromMemberList(:.:);
var contract_offered_by_anyone = Predicate(...);
```

```
var bad_company_contract = Predicate(Company, Contract).If(
    is_company[Company],
    company_offers_contract[Company, Contract],
    !is_contract[Contract]
    );
```

Log2("This company is offering an invalid contract", bad\_company\_contract);

```
var orphaned_contract = Predicate(Contract).If(
    is_contract[Contract],
    !contract_offered_by_anyone[Contract]);
```

Log("This contract is not offered by any company", orphaned\_contract);

invalidCompany(C, Id) ⇐ isCompany(C) ∧ offersContract(C, Id) ∧ ¬ isContract(Id)

orphanedContract(Id) ⇐ isContract(Id) ∧ ∄C: offersContract(C, Id)

## TED code



Log("This contract is not offered by any company", orphaned\_contract);

### Each predicate can *test* a specific value, or *generate* all matching

### Here is\_contract[] tests a specific value of variable Contract

Here is\_contract[] produces all possible values for Contract

## **TELL VS TED**

Different execution strategies!

### TELL

• Like Prolog, execute queries top-down, depth-first search

### TED

Like Datalog, execute queries bottom-up, creating a table ulletfor each predicate or expression, and merging them



## Takeaways

Very early in development, but:

- Embedding inside C# is very, very, very nice  $\bullet$
- No longer purely stack-allocated, but that's okay  ${\color{black}\bullet}$
- Both systems in active development: lacksquare
  - Optimizations coming
  - TED should be easy to parallelize



## LP systems we used

System	Embedded language	Search	Туре	Memory allocation
Unity Prolog	No	Top-down	ISO Prolog	Dynamic
BotL	No	Top-down	Prolog-like	Stack-based
TELL	Yes	Top-down	Prolog-like	Dynamic
TED	Yes	Bottom-up	Datalog-like Parallelizable	Dynamic



## One more thing... NPC procgen!



## Two use cases

## NPC personality traits NPC composite portraits (City of Gangsters, future game) (future game)



Personality traits:

- Quiet ( Reduces heat for illegal trades.)
- Agile (Second behind the wheel. Good in a fight.)





## **Two use cases**

1. NPC personality traits

Pick 3 traits that together satisfy design constraints:



**Personality traits:** 

- Quiet ( 🐮 Reduces heat for illegal trades.)
- Agile (See Good behind the wheel. Good in a fight.)

*loner*  $\rightarrow \neg$  *sociable*  $\land$  *quiet* quiet  $\rightarrow \neg$  talkative  $talkative \rightarrow \neg quiet \land friendly$ agile  $\rightarrow \neg$  clumsy  $\land \neg$  lazy

...*etc*.



## **Two use cases**

1. NPC personality traits 2. NPC composite portraits



Pick 1 body, head, hair, etc. that satisfy art constraints:

 $male \leftrightarrow head_1 \lor \cdots \lor head_M$  $male \leftrightarrow body_1 \vee \cdots \vee body_N$  $body_5 \leftrightarrow head_4 \lor head_6$  $head_3 \lor head_4 \rightarrow hair_8 \lor hair_9 \lor hair_{10}$  $hair_8 \rightarrow \neg head_{10}$ 

...*etc*.



## Approach

### It's a **satisfiability** problem!

- Find a model (set of true values) that satisfies all those constraints
- SAT solvers exist...



## Approach

It's a **satisfiability** problem!

- Find a **model** (set of true • values) that **satisfies** all those constraints
- SAT solvers exist...

CatSAT

- SAT solvers
- •

Implemented in C#

## SAT solver with **randomization** Better randomization of solutions than traditional

### Randomization is key for PCG!



### **Experimental systems** PCG for non-programmers, creative writers, and tabletop GMs

March 20-24, 2023 | San Francisco, CA #GDC23



## Imaginarium

Constraint-based PCG from English-language descriptions

```
Persian, tabby, Siamese, manx, Chartreux,
and Maine coon are kinds of cat.
Cats are long-haired or short-haired.
Cats can be big or small.
Chartreux are grey.
Siamese are grey.
Persians are long-haired.
Siamese are short-haired.
Maine coons are large.
Cats are black, white, grey, or ginger.
...
imagine 10 cats
```

🚭 Imaginarium

### Imaginarium

Sophie is a female, short-haired, small, staid, grey manx, age: 3 Sassy is a female, long-haired, haughty, staid, black manx, age: 16 Chloe is a female, short-haired, haughty, grey Siamese, age: 16 Belle is a female, long-haired, big, grey Chartreux, age: 7 Chloe is a female, short-haired, big, cuddly, crazy, white manx, age: 14 Ollie is a male, long-haired, big, haughty, white manx, age: 9 Fluffy is a female, long-haired, big, cuddly, grey Chartreux, age: 16 Tommy is a male, short-haired, big, haughty, staid, grey manx, age: 17 Luna is a female, short-haired, haughty, crazy, ginger tabby, age: 3 Simba is a male, long-haired, big, haughty, staid, ginger Maine coon, age: 5

Enter command, or type "help"





## Imaginarium

Constraint-based PCG from English-language descriptions

```
Thaumaturge, necromancer, neopagan,
technopagan, and shaman are kinds of magic
user.
A magic user is dark or light
Necromancers are dark
Thaumaturges are light
imagine 10 magic user cats
```

### Imaginarium

Abby is a light, female, long-haired, big, haughty, white shaman manx, age: 10 Daisy is a dark, female, short-haired, crazy, grey shaman Siamese, age: 19

Enter command, or type "help"





## Imaginarium

Generating and visualizing relationships





## **Generative text for TTRPGs**

(Joint work with Olivia Hill and Filamena Young)

You are hired by a curious party to take out a werewolf. The client just really hates werewolves.

When you finally find the werewolf, the area is swarming with cops. And they turn out to be extremely attractive. What will you do?

Afterward, the client has another job for you, a really hard one, and they want you to start right now.







## Summary

Logic programming is great for specific purposes

- Focuses on query, hides execution strategy

Prolog/Datalog-likes: used as "SQL for knowledge graphs" Satisfiability solver: used for PCG with constraints

Ergonomic implementations are key!



## Links

All these systems are open source! (MIT license) Go here: https://tinyurl.com/game-lp

- Newer systems: TED, TELL, CatSAT ullet
- Older systems: BotL, Unity Prolog
- Sample app

Also, join us on Discord! Talk about LP in games, get code feedback, and more. Link at: <u>https://tinyurl.com/game-lp</u>






March 20-24, 2023 San Francisco, CA

## Thank you!

## Robert Zubek, SomaSim LLC, robert@somasim.com Ian Horswill, Northwestern University, ian@northwestern.edu

Slides, software, and interactive demo: https://tinyurl.com/game-lp

#GDC23

